

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,115	02/19/2004	Kevin Mathis	23 - 0493	5363
40158	7590 08/25/2005		EXAM	INER
WOODS FULLER SHULTZ & SMITH P.C. ATTN: JEFFREY A. PROEHL P.O. BOX 5027			GRANT, ALVIN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SIOUX FALL	SIOUX FALLS, SD 57117			

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/782,115	MATHIS, KEVIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alvin J. Grant	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fruite. cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35.U.S.C. & 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	February 2004					
· <u> </u>	<u> </u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 6-11 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5 and 12 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Ints have been received in Application only documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	гу (РТО-413)				
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/19/04</u>.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality:

Page 5, line 9, it seems that "surface 30" should read "surface 36".

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 10 recites, "the handle member includes a bore extending therethrough for receiving said medial portion". The specification does not describe however, how handle member "having a bore" is made to fit the handle of the wrench without destroying the head of the wrench.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,115 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Catanese et al. '799.

Catanese et al. discloses a movable wrench assembly comprising: a wrench having a pair of end portions (22, 24) and a medial portion extending between the end portions; a handle member coupled to the wrench such that the handle member is slidable along the medial portion (column 2, lines 6 and 7); locking means for holding the handle member in a position adjacent to a selectable one of the end portions of the wrench (column 2, lines 8-14); one of the end portions forms an open-ended wrench coupler; one of the end portions forms a box-ended wrench coupler and a second one of the end portions forms a box-ended wrench coupler (column 1, lines 14-17).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,115 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Catanese et al. in

view of La Podura '411.

Catanese et al. is described above. Catanese et al does not specifically disclose a sleeve having a

pair of raised end lips. La Podura discloses a tool having sleeve having a pair of raised end lips

so as to prevent the operator's hand from slipping therefrom. It would have been obvious to one

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the sleeve of

Catanese et al's, wrench to have a pair of raised end lips as taught by La Podura so as to prevent

the operator's hand from slipping therefrom.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 3-5 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The art of record does not teach or suggest a tool handle having a plurality of indentations for

engaging a biased locking member with biasing means urging the locking member outwardly

from the handle member.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Grant whose telephone number is (571) 272-4484. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 3723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin J Grant
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3723

ajg